

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/787,614	03/20/2001	Kiyofumi Takeuchi	010347	5956	
23850 75	07/01/2004	07/01/2004		EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			WU, SHEAN CHIU		
1725 K STREE SUITE 1000	I, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			1756		
			DATE MAN ED 07/01/200		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M	/
$\mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{N}}$	/

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/787,614	TAKEUCHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shean C Wu	1756				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ap	<u>oril 2004</u> .					
• =)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-12 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 14-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 13 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and/or example. 	from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the orange Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the orange representation is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/26/04 & 3/29/04. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/787,614

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

1. To response the questions raised by Applicant regarding to claim 18, the Examiner overlooked claim 18 in the previous Office Action. Therefore, claim 18 will be considered in this Office Action. Claim 13 also will be considered in this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gray et al. (US 5,252,253).

Gray discloses that the reference compounds represented by formula I are used in materials having nematic, ferroelectric chiral smectic C and high birefringence liquid crystal properties, for inclusion in electro-optical display devices. They may also be used in polymer dispersed liquid crystal materials.

Application/Control Number: 09/787,614

Art Unit: 1756

The reference compound (Fig. 1) reads the present formula (I-1) when Q¹= CN, W¹⁻⁶=H, k¹⁻²=0, A¹= (fluoro-substituted) 1,4-phenylene, K¹=single bond and R¹= (C₁₋₁₀) alkyl. See the compounds 1.9-1.11 on col. 3 of the reference. Also see Example 3 on col. 9. The formulae 1.4 to 1.8 (Q¹=F, CF₃ or NCS) also read on the present formula (I-3). The reference differs from the claims in that the claims comprise at least two compounds selected from different formulae (I-1)-(I-5). Although the present claims are not exemplified in the reference, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art to mix the compounds of formulae (1.4-1.8) with (1.9-1.11) because these compounds have similar liquid crystal properties, which can improve the liquid crystal display devices.

With respect to Claims 14 and 15, the reference differs from the claims in that the claims have more specific liquid crystal properties. However, the nematic liquid crystal material of the reference may also contain one or more optically active compounds (especially the biphenyl of formula IIA in which R_A is (+)-2-methylbutyl) to induce a cholesteric phase (helical pitch). See col. 5, lines 28-32. The reference also teaches that the present phenylnaphthalenes of formula I have useful nematic and/or smectic C liquid crystalline properties, showing these useful phases over a broad temperature range. Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art to optimize the reference compound to arrive at the claimed properties.

With respect to Claim 18, the reference further teaches that liquid crystal materials are dispersed within a matrix of a transparent polymer, which includes three-dimensional network.

Double Patenting

Application/Control Number: 09/787,614

Art Unit: 1756

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claim 19 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 18 and 19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,468,607. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed subject matters (formula I-2) of the present invention overlap with LC composition comprising the formula I of US '607.

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 and 14-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 5

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claim 13 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1756

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shean C Wu whose telephone number is 571-272-1393. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shean C Wu
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1756

scw